

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/421,213 | O'BRIEN ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. | 1643 |

All Participants:

(1) Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(2) Benjamin Adler, Ph.D., J.D.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 September 2005

Time: 3:45pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

22, 24, 53-56

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Participants discussed allowable claim language and agreed upon an amendment, which the Examiner would implement via an Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)